A Survey of Geriatrics Courses in North American Chiropractic Programs

Cara L. Borggren, DC, Paul J. Osterbauer DC, MPH, Michael R. Wiles MEd, DC, Northwestern Health Sciences University

Purpose: There has been a growing interest in meeting the health care needs of the anticipated "age wave." In order to prepare for the current demographic trends, we sought to describe the status of geriatrics curricula in the 18 North American English-speaking chiropractic colleges by reviewing geriatric course syllabi. Methods: A cross-sectional survey was conducted using syllabi and catalog information solicited from each English-speaking chiropractic college in North America, collected from January 1, 2007 through June 30, 2007. Information was then summarized. Results: As of June 30, 2007, roughly 78% of colleges submitted their current geriatrics course syllabi. The remaining 4 colleges were estimated using online course catalog information. Sixty-one percent of colleges offered a course that was solely dedicated to the topic of geriatrics. Additionally, 37.5% of syllabi indicating credit load offer 4 or more credits to the course containing the geriatrics component. Also, 31.3% of courses include non-classroom clinical experience, while 50% require an independent study project that provides further geriatrics experience. Furthermore, 41.2% of reported courses classify the teaching strategies as lecture only. Conclusions: These results warrant a proposal for improved curricula in this specialty population. It is proposed that more time be dedicated for this topic, more experiential learning be required, and more clinical focus be given on the needs of this population. A restructure of curricula will provide more clinical experiences for students to better equip future doctors of chiropractic for the increase in geriatric health care needs.. (J Chiropr Educ 2009;23(1):28-35)

Key Indexing Terms: Chiropractic; Geriatrics; Education

INTRODUCTION

Since as early as the mid-1970s, chiropractic college leaders have been urged to train doctors of chiropractic to care for the special needs of the aging population. During the past ten years, there has been a growing interest in meeting the health care needs of the anticipated "age wave", referred to by some recent media reports as the "senior tsunami". 1–10 It was reported by the US Census Bureau that in July of 2005, 78.2 million of the 298 million people comprising the population were "baby boomers" (the generation born between 1946)

The Journal of Chiropractic Education

Copyright © 2009 the Association of Chiropractic Colleges Vol. 23, No. 1. Printed in U.S.A. 1042-5055/\$22.00

were turning 60 each day. 11 Given this trend, it is ironic that there is a significant shortage of health professionals trained to meet the specific healthcare needs of an aging population. One proposed solution is providing care using interdisciplinary teams to address the various aspects of patient care more efficiently than one provider alone. 12 Anticipating chiropractic's potential role in this process, Hawk et al¹³ identified barriers to including chiropractors on interdisciplinary geriatric teams and suggested strategies to overcome them. Using a variety of data sources that included geriatrics course syllabi from 9 of the 17 United States chiropractic colleges, they recommended increases in the breadth and depth of knowledge regarding care for aged patients, including extra emphasis on clinical experience, to apply their

and 1964), and that in the year 2006, 7918 people

new found skills.¹³ Currently, the Council on Chiropractic Education (CCE) requires that all chiropractic college curricula,¹⁴ "... must include the subject of geriatrics," and must, "... document how each subject appears in the curriculum and is integrated into a coherent degree program."

Therefore, the purpose of this study was to perform a 10 year follow-up work of Hawk and colleagues¹³ regarding the status of geriatrics education in the 18 North American English-speaking chiropractic colleges surveying course syllabi. Improvements in educational standards are proposed to calibrate training to meet the urgent needs of our population.

METHODS

A cross-sectional survey was conducted using syllabi and catalog information solicited from each English-speaking chiropractic college in North America, collected from January 1, 2007 through June 30, 2007. Information was gathered by telephone calls and e-mails to the lead instructor teaching the geriatrics course at each institution, and then submission of the course syllabus was requested. Follow-up calls were made to try to obtain the highest participation rate possible. Fourteen of 18 colleges responded to the request to obtain their syllabi. Information on the 4 non-responding colleges was obtained by reviewing the most current catalog information on their public web-sites. The following information was collected from these sources: contact hours of geriatric instruction, credits offered, required and recommended textbooks or references, special projects required of students, service-based and experiential learning components, course sequencing, credentials of instructors, methods of assessment, and teaching strategies utilized. Individual course objectives were recorded and will be used in a future project.

RESULTS

Descriptive data were recorded and rounded up to the nearest percentage point. Fourteen of 18 (78%) colleges responded to our request and submitted a course syllabus (Table 1). To preserve anonymity, Table 1 lists the course titles in random order, which is maintained throughout the report. The most common title for a geriatrics course (Geriatrics) was present in 7 of the 18 colleges, with a variety of other titles reported (Table 2). Eleven of the 18 (61%) colleges offered a course that was solely dedicated to the topic of geriatrics while the other 7 colleges included other topics in the course, such as: pediatrics, human developmental diagnosis, obstetrics and gynecology, dermatology, emergency procedures, and sexually-transmitted diseases. The majority of colleges offer this course in the final year of education and 38% offer 4 or more credits for the course containing the geriatrics component (Table 1). All of the known courses containing the geriatrics component were taught by a doctor of chiropractic, and 7 of the known 15 (47%) instructors also listed further postgraduate training on their syllabus, such as graduate degrees and professional designations such as specialty diplomates and fellowships (Table 1). Most of the colleges require a text for their course (Table 1) and many of the colleges had an extensive list of recommended texts (Table 3). Some colleges include a required clinical component and/or a project that provides some practical geriatrics experience. A variety of assessment methods were reported, as shown in Table 1. Course content for each college was categorized into 12 essential topics (Table 4), deemed as necessary components in previous work.¹³

Discussion

Our response rate of 78% provides an accurate representation of chiropractic geriatrics courses of the English-speaking North American chiropractic colleges. A previous similar assessment was based on 9 responses. ¹³ Our data from responding colleges were also supplemented by online catalog information from the non-responders. While the survey of an online syllabus (or, for that matter, the actual instructor-submitted syllabus) may not necessarily be representative of what is actually taught in the curriculum, it may be reasonably assumed that requested and received information and college-sanctioned online information fairly represents the course content in these geriatrics courses.

Reports on chiropractic geriatrics training^{13,15} note that, typically, geriatrics education is limited to a single course of a range from 15–30 hours (respectively), with little (if any) associated clinical education or experience. Our findings essentially echo this situation, despite previous recommendations for increased and improved chiropractic geriatric education.^{10,13} Only 11 of 18 colleges surveyed

Table 1. Descriptive data of 18 North American geriatrics courses

| | | | . | | | | | | | |
|-----------|----------------------|--|--|--|--|---|---|--|--|---|
| College | Syllabus Obtained | Sequencing of Course I Offerings | Number of Hours of Instruction As Taken from Syllabi | Credits Attributed Geriatrics to Topic | Credentials Listed on Syllabi for Instructor | Required Geriatric Text | Experiential Learning, as Listed on Syllabi | Outside Projects, as Listed on Syllabi | Methods of Assessment | Teaching Strategies |
| College 1 | ٢ | 8 th trimester | 8 | 4 | DC, FICPA | None | None listed | None listed | Cumulative final exam | Lecture only |
| College 2 | - | 5 th trimester | 21 | က | BA. BS. DC | None | None listed | Research | Midterm | Lecture and |
|) | | | | | | | | paper to better | and project | demonstration |
| | | | | | | | | understand diseases associated with these patients | · | of adjustment |
| College 3 | - | Unknown based upon syllabus | 48 | 4 | BS, DC | None | Required off-campus | Hospice rotations | Midterm, final, 2 papers | Lecture and demonstration |
| | | | | | | | interactive events | | | |
| College 4 | - | 9 th quarter | Unknown | 9 | DC, DABCO | Merck Manual of | Hospice rotation | End of Life of the | 4 quizzes, | Lecture only |
| | | | based upon syllabus | | | Geriatric, 3 rd Ed.*** | | Terminal Patient, interview project of patient 60+ with paper and presentation | special project, attendance and summative exam | |
| - C | • | | C | c | C C C | | 100 | to class | 7 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 1 N | 1 |
| College 5 | _ | Unknown based | 77 | N | BGE, DC | Geriatrics 5 th Ed *** | None listed | None listed | Milaterm and final exam | case studies |
| College 6 | - | 8 th trimester | 30 | 8 | DC, LCP | None None | None listed | None listed | 4 exams, 2 | Lecture only |
| , | | | | | | | | | unannounced quizzes | |
| College 7 | *0 | 6 th trimester | 24** | 4 | Unknown | Unknown based | Unknown based | Unknown based | Unknown based | Unknown based |
| | | | | | based upon syllabus | upon syllabus | upon syllabus | upon syllabus | upon syllabus | upon syllabus |
| College 8 | - | 7 th trimester | 16 | 4 | DC, DNBHE | None*** | None listed, although clinic structure allows ample geriatric experiences | None listed | 1 exam | Lecture only |
| College 9 | - | 10/11 th quarter | 20 | 0 | DC, DABCO | Chiropractic Care of the Older Patient (Gleberzon)*** | Demonstration of geriatric manipulation in class | Fall Screening Event, nutrition assessment, advanced directive project | Final exam and 2 outside projects | Lecture and demonstration of procedures |
| | | | | | | | | | | (continued overleaf) |

Table 1. (Continued)

| College | Syllabus Obtained | Sequencing of Course Offerings | Number of Hours of Instruction As Taken from Syllabi | Number of Credits Attributed Geriatrics to Topic | Credentials Listed on Syllabi for Instructor | Required Geriatric Text | Experiential Learning, as Listed on Syllabi | Outside Projects, as Listed on Syllabi | Methods of Assessment | Teaching Strategies |
|------------|----------------------|--------------------------------------|--|--|---|---|--|---|---|---|
| College 10 | - | 8 th trimester | 30 | 2 units | OG | The Aging: Conservative Management of Common NMS Conditions (Bougie, et al) | Required off-campus interactive events | Visit to community center, comprehensive geriatric assessment, exercise assessment and planning, nutritional planning | Unknown based upon syllabus | Lecture, labs, reading assignments, small group discussions and assigned projects |
| College 11 | *0 | 8 th trimester | 30 | 0 | Unknown based upon svllabus | Unknown based upon syllabus | Unknown based upon syllabus | Unknown based upon syllabus | Unknown based upon syllabus | Lecture and case studies |
| College 12 | - | 9 th trimester | 30 | α | OC | Chiropractic Care of the Older Patient (Gleberzon)*** | Students choose community- based project to complete | Cafeteria options for outside projects | Quizzes, midterm, final, Cultural Competency Activity, Interdisciplinary Activity, Enriching Activity, Enriching Activities | Lecture, evidence- based literature searching, community- based projects, quest speakers |
| College 13 | - | Unknown based upon syllabus | 30 | N | O | Primary Care Geriatrics: A Case- Based Approach (Ham & Slone)*** | None listed, although 2 assisted living facilities affiliated with clinic system where students are encouraged to shadow | None listed | Midterm, final and 2 quizzes | Lecture only, but interactive |
| College 14 | - | 9 th quarter | 30 | 3 units | Unknown based upon svllabus | None | None listed | None listed | Midterm and non- comprehensive final exam | Lecture only |
| College 15 | * | 8 th trimester | 9 | ю | DC, PhD | Primary Care Geriatrics: A Case- Based Approach (Ham & Slone) | None listed | None listed | None listed | Lecture, videos, group discussions |

| Lectures, videos, guest speakers and assigned projects | Lecture, presentations, handouts and videos | Lecture only, but guest lecturers ncluded |
|---|---|--|
| Midterm, final, quizzes and project | 2 presentations, 2 Lecture, papers, final exam presenta handouts and vide | Assessment at Leend of each guot 9 modules inc |
| £ | Senior living facility – presentation and paper | None listed |
| Health assessment project of resident at assisted living center | None listed | None listed |
| The Aging Body (Bougie & Morgenthal)*** | Merck Manual for Geriatrics, 3 rd Ed.*** | Chiropractic Care of the Older Patient (Gleberzon) |
| рс, мрн | MA, DC, ND | DC |
| Ø | 4 | - |
| 30 | sed 48 | 17 |
| 8 th trimester | Unknown based 48 upon syllabus | Year 3 |
| - | *0 | - |
| College 16 | College 17 | College 18 |

*Estimated using online course catalog information (Note: Randomized college numbers will be consistent throughout the paper.) **72 hours combined total for class, assuming 3 subjects divided equally ***Extensive list of recommended texts also given.

Table 2. Geriatrics course titles

| Course Title | Frequency of Use of Course Title |
|------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Geriatrics | 7 |
| Geriatric Clinical Diagnosis | 1 |
| Special Populations: | 1 |
| Pediatrics and Geriatrics | |
| Human Develop- | 1 |
| mental Diagnosis | |
| Health and the | 1 |
| Older Person | |
| Healthy Aging | 1 |
| Care for the Human: | 1 |
| Chiropractic Care for | |
| Special Populations | |
| and Active Care | |
| OB-GYN/Pediatrics/ | 1 |
| Geriatrics | |
| Pediatrics/Geriatrics | 1 |
| Pediatric and | 1 |
| Geriatric Diagnosis | |
| Clinical Diagnosis: Derma- | 1 |
| tology/Gynecology/ | |
| Geriatrics | |
| Clinical Geriatrics | 1 |

Total Course Count: 18

actually had a geriatrics course in their curriculum. Data available from 17 colleges (Table 1) indicate that the average length of a geriatrics courses is about 26 hours (25.88), with courses ranging from 8 to 48 hours of instruction. Assuming an average program of 4200 hours, this represents 0.6% of the curriculum, somewhat less than a previous estimate of 1.5% of classroom hours dedicated to the study of chiropractic geriatrics¹⁰ and considerably less than a previous estimate of about 5% for "the total clock-hours addressed to geriatric material" in colleges of osteopathic medicine. 16 Furthermore, this same survey of osteopathic colleges indicated that about 43% of "total aging-related clock hours of the school's typical graduating student in 1984" was clinical geriatrics experience. This same paper indicated that 87% of deans predicted an increase in age-related curriculum programming over the next 5 years. No data were found since that time, but it may be reasonably assumed that the age-related curricular hours and accompanying clinical experience in osteopathic medical colleges has indeed increased since the survey.

Our data indicated that only 5 surveyed college programs included any clinical experiences and that

Table 3. Text recommendations for geriatrics courses

| atrics courses | |
|---|----------------|
| Recommended | Frequency of |
| Text | Recommendation |
| Chiropractic Care of the | 9 |
| Older Patient (Gleberzon) | Ü |
| The Merck Manual | 5 |
| of Geriatrics, 3 rd ed | 3 |
| | 4 |
| The Aging Body: Conservative | 4 |
| Management of Common | |
| Neuromusculoskeltal Condi- | |
| tions (Bougie & Morgenthal) | • |
| Essenitals of Clinical | 2 |
| Geriatrics, 5 th ed (Kane) | |
| Bates Guide to Physical | 2 |
| Examination and History Taking | |
| Biology of Human | 1 |
| Aging, 2 nd ed (Spence) | |
| Practice of Geriatrics, | 1 |
| 4 th ed (Duthie, et al) | |
| 20 Common Problems: | 1 |
| Geriatrics (Adelman, et al) | |
| Geriatric Practice- | 1 |
| Specific Issues (Bougie) | |
| Chiropractic Management | 1 |
| of Spine Related | • |
| Disorders (Gatterman) | |
| Chiropractic Guidelines and | 1 |
| Protocols, 2 nd ed (Huff, et al) | 1 |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | 1 |
| Aging: The Healthcare | ı |
| Challenge, 4 th ed (Lewis) | 4 |
| Essentials of Skeletal | 1 |
| Radiology (Yochum & Rowe) | |
| Primary Care of the | 1 |
| Older Adult (Burke, et al) | |
| Geriatric Pearls (Fordyce) | 1 |
| Principles of Geriatric Medicine | 1 |
| and Gerontology (Hazzard, et al) | |
| Health Promotion | 1 |
| and Aging (Haber) | |
| Principles and Practice of | 1 |
| Geriatric Medicine (Patsy) | |
| Fallproof: A comprehensive | 1 |
| balance and mobility | |
| training program (Rose) | |
| Geriatirc Physical Therapy, | 1 |
| 2 nd ed (Guccione) | • |
| Practical Guide to the | 1 |
| Geriatric Patient (Ferri, et al) | ı |
| | |

these, at best, were meager experiences limited to shadowing a doctor, or interviewing one or two elderly patients. Hawk et al¹³ also noted a "striking lack of clinical experience with geriatric patients"

Table 4. Essential topic analysis of chiropractic college geriatrics course syllabi

| | Number of Syllabi |
|-----------------------------|-------------------|
| Topic Addressed in Syllabus | Containing |
| <u> </u> | |
| Epidemiology | 5 |
| Normal Aging Phys- | 13 |
| iology & Wellness | |
| Pathophysiology of Aging | 14 |
| Psychological & | 9 |
| Mental Considerations | |
| Functional Status & ADL's | 5 |
| Pharmaceutical Use | 6 |
| Injury Prevention & Control | 1 |
| Elder Abuse | 0 |
| Social Support | 6 |
| Concurrent Care Planning | 9 |
| Cultural Issues | 6 |
| Communication | 8 |
| Skills for Providers | |

and that this was considered a barrier to putting theoretical knowledge of geriatrics into practice. Despite this observation by Hawk and colleagues more than 10 years ago, the colleges have not made appreciable progress in this area of chiropractic education. It should be noted that urgent educational reforms to meet the needs of patients with chronic disease are lagging for all health care disciplines.¹²

Geriatrics course placement within a curriculum indicated that these courses vary from the 5th trimester (approximately the second of four years) to the 11th quarter (approximately the fourth of four years). Increasingly, geriatrics course material is being introduced throughout medical curricula and one recent paper suggested it to be important enough to be introduced as one of 12 overall themes that were woven throughout the curriculum. ¹⁸ Chiropractic educators may be advised to consider such a proposal in chiropractic curricula.

Chiropractic geriatrics courses appear to average about 3 credits. Given that many chiropractic programs provide a total of 220–240 credits, this suggests that even by credit load, geriatrics education is in the order of 1% of the total curriculum. The question remains, "What should it be?" This will depend upon a detailed review of chiropractic geriatrics syllabi and identification of specific and discrete competency outcome criteria, as well as the perception by chiropractic educators of the importance of the evolving subjects of geriatrics and

gerontology. Chiropractic education seems to more heavily rely upon specific and prescribed competencies than medical education. For example, the Association of American Medical Colleges report on Learning Objectives for Medical Student Education (guidelines for medical schools), in 1998, proposed 4 general themes and only 30 specific learning objectives for medical education. By contrast, the Council on Chiropractic Education requirements describe 247 specific competencies in 16 broader areas called metacompetencies. While this may appear as "competencies gone wild", it is hoped that this paper will not herald even more prescriptive competency requirements in the area of geriatrics. Ultimately it is not more competencies, more credits, or more didactic hours that are needed, rather it is clinical experience that should more effectively enhance competency. Clinical geriatrics experience, exposure and education should and must be an important consideration of all chiropractic college leaders. In order to take formal geriatrics training beyond the classroom, Killinger recommended that, "Residencies in geriatrics should be developed and funded to enhance chiropractic training on geriatric patient care." Historically, chiropractic colleges have not participated in government funded clinical and educational programs in geriatrics, 9 although in 2004, one college reported that training in geriatrics was included as part of its family practice residency program.¹³ On the positive side, since then, one chiropractic college has implemented a formal residency program in geriatrics.

Limitations

Several limitations of this study should be noted. The response rate, while robust, failed to account for 4 of the 18 (22%) chiropractic colleges that were contacted to respond to our request for participation. This may be explained by a variety of reasons including timing of the inquiry, perhaps during a break in the academic cycle, breakdowns in communication, changes in staff or course assignments or unwillingness to participate for personal/ philosophical reasons. Another limitation may include interpretation of the information from the syllabi and also from the websites, due to nonstandardized terminology. To minimize this possibility, we made every effort to inform our readership of the source of data. Furthermore, syllabi, imperfect as they may be to estimate topic content and coverage, are a practical source to assess course content.

CONCLUSION

These results warrant a proposal for improved curricula in this special population. It is proposed that more time be dedicated for this topic, more experiential learning be required, and more focus given to the needs of this "baby booming" population. Graduate level studies and more dialogue among chiropractic leaders on this important topic will aide in this proposal for improved curricula. A restructure of the curriculum will provide more clinical experience to students to hopefully better prepare them for an increase in clinical services associated with an increase in the number of older adults needing these services.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

Received, May 5, 2008 Revised, July 9, 2008 Accepted, August 12, 2008

Address correspondence to: Cara Borggren, DC Northwestern Health Sciences University, 2501 W. 84th St. Bloomington, MN 55431 United States, (952)888.4777 x341, (952)888-4777 (First Alternate Telephone), (952)885-7579 (fax), cborggren@nwhealth.edu

REFERENCES

 Janse J. Gerontology in chiropractic practice. In: Hildebrandt RW, editor. Principles and practice of chiropractic. Chicago; National College of Chiropractic; 1976.

- 2. Wiles MR. Specialization in chiropractic: a construct for the future. J Can Chiropr Assoc 1984; 28:193–51.
- 3. Elkington, WC. Health care of the elderly patient. DC Tracts 1990; 2(5):251–60.
- Coulter ID, Hurwitz EL, Aronow HU, Cassata DM, Beck JC. Chiropractic patients in a comprehensive home-based geriatric assessment, follow-up and health promotion program. Top Clin Chiropr 1996;3:46–55.
- Bougie JD, Morgenthal AP. The aging: Conservative management of common neuromusculoskeletal conditions. New York: McGraw-Hill; 2001.
- Gleberzon, BJ. Chiropractic care of the older patient. Oxford: Butterworth-Heinemann; 2001.
- Hawk C, Byrd L, Killinger L. Evaluation of a geriatrics course emphasizing interdisciplinary issues for chiropractic students. J Gerontol Nurs 2001;27:6–12.
- Killinger LZ, Morley JE, Kettner NW, Kauric, E. Integrated care of the older patient. Top Clin Chiropr 2001;8:46–54.
- 9. Killinger LZ. Chiropractic and geriatrics: a review of the training, role and scope of chiropractic in caring for the aging patients. Clin Geriatr Med 2004;20:223–35.
- Dougherty P, Killinger LZ. The role of chiropractic in long-term care. Long-Term Care Interface 2005; June: 33–8.
- 11. US Census Bureau [homepage on the Internet]. Washington, DC: US Census Bureau; c2007 [cited 2007 Jun 7]. Available from http://www.census.gov.
- 12. Kane RL, Priester R, Totten AM. Meeting the challenge of chronic illness. Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press; 2005. p. 71,99.
- Hawk C, Killinger LZ, Zapotocky B, Azad A. Chiropractic training in care of the geriatric patient: an assessment. J Neuromusculoskelet System 1996;5:15–25.
- Council on Chiropractic Education. Standards for Doctor of Chiropractic programs and requirements for institutional status. Scottsdale: Council on Chiropractic Education: 2007.
- Coulter I, Adams A, Coggan P, et al. A comparative study of chiropractic and medical education. Altern Ther Health Med 1998;4:64–75.
- Solon J, Kilpatrick N. Status of geriatric education in colleges of osteopathic medicine: Report of the First National Survey to Establish Benchmark Data. J Amer Osteopath Assoc 1987;87:764–8.
- 17. Kitzes JA, Savich RD, Kalishman S, et al. Fitting it all in: integration of 12 cross-cutting themes into a School of Medicine curriculum. Med Teach 2007;29:489–94.